# ***Part I – Agency Profile***

**Agency Overview**

The Idaho Soil and Water Conservation Commission (ISWCC) was created in 1939 under Idaho Code § 22-2716, et. seq.) to assist local conservation districts in their efforts to reduce soil erosion generated by agricultural land management practices. ISWCC is now also the lead agency for a number of voluntary conservation programs that address water quality and other natural resource issues. ISWCC has no regulatory authority. The ISWCC was led in FY 2024 by seven Commissioners appointed by the Governor: Chairman Erik Olson, Vice Chair Wendy Pratt, Secretary Karen Sharpnack, Treasurer Blake Hollingsworth, and members Mitchell Silvers, Joan Cloonan, and Richard Savage. The administrator was Delwyne Trefz. In FY 2024, the agency had 17.75 administrative and technical FTPs located in offices around the state.

**Core Functions/Idaho Code**

1. **District Support and Services:** provides technical, financial, and other assistance to Idaho’s 50 conservation districts.
2. **Comprehensive Conservation Services:** provides and promotes non-regulatory incentive and science-based programs to support voluntary conservation activities enhancing the environmental quality and economic productivity of the state.
3. **Administration**: ensures fiscally responsible operations to support Commissioners, programs, and staff.
4. **Outreach**: engages local, state, and federal partners, non-governmental organizations, and resource and agricultural production groups to promote agricultural stewardship and voluntary conservation.

**Revenue and Expenditures**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Revenue** | **FY 2021** | **FY 2022** | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** |
| General Fund | 2,695,800 | 2,967,200 | 3,370,200 |  |
| Receipts (Idle Fund Interest) | 2,006 | 10,939 | 2,200 |  |
| RCRDP Loan Program | 745,910 | 554,314 | 715,500 |  |
| SRF Loan Program | 78,408 | 139,356 | 0 |  |
| Federal Grant Funds | \*(12,149) | 12,149 | 0 |  |
| **Total** | **3,522,124** | **3,683,958** | **4,087,900** |  |
| **Expenditures** | **FY 2021** | **FY 2022** | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** |
| Personnel Costs | 1,255,777 | 1,267,957 | 1,453,900 |  |
| Operating Expenditures | 252,924 | 294,862 | 252,400 |  |
| Capital Outlay | 0 | 0 | 20,500 |  |
| Trustee/Benefit DistrictsTrustee/Benefit CREPTrustee/Benefit WQPA FY 2023 Supplemental | 1,166,700 | 1,428,100 | 1,508,400190,0004,729,900 |  |
| RCRDP Loan Disbursements | 349,169 | 785,344 | 2,057,800 |  |
| DEQ LoanFederal Grant Funds | 79,1470 | 93,4500 | 00 |  |
|  |
| **Total** | **3,103,717** | **3,869,713** | **10,212,900** |  |

*\*Adjust to FY - Federal Grant Funds Billed in FY 2022 – Revenue Received in FY 2023*

|  |
| --- |
| **Profile of Cases Managed and/or Key Services Provided \*** |
| **Cases Managed and/or Key Services Provided** | **FY 2021** | **FY 2022** | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** |
| Landowners assisted in their conservation efforts (number) | 328 | 973 | 1,053**3** |  |
| Administrative, technical & engineering assistance provided to Conservation Districts (staff hours) | 10,860 | 11,697 | 10,476 |  |
| Technical assistance provided to landowners enrolled in the USDA Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (staff hours) | 3,230 | 4,279 | 2,923 |  |
| Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) Agricultural Implementation Plans developed (number)2 | 7 | 5 | 2 |  |

1 For the FY 2022 Performance Report, the key services summarized in earlier versions of this table were revised to more accurately reflect services provided directly by ISWCC.

2 The number of Implementation Plans ISWCC completes each year depends in part upon the number of Total Maximum Daily Loads completed and approved by IDEQ and EPA.

**FY 2024 Performance Highlights**

During FY 2024 ISWCC field staff expended 10,476 hours in support of conservation projects administered by the 50 Conservation Districts across the state. Staff provided a wide range of administrative, technical, and engineering services as requested by Districts. The Commission published monthly issues of our newsletter ***Conservation the Idaho Way*** to a distribution list of 676 subscribers. Each newsletter includes an in-depth story which highlights either the activities of one Conservation District or an issue of interest to the conservation community.

The 2022 Legislature provided a $5M supplemental appropriation for ISWCC’s Water Quality Program for Agriculture (WQPA), to be expended before the end of FY 2024. WQPA funds were available to conservation districts and others in need of financial assistance to implement projects designed to conserve water quality and water quantity. ISWCC approved 47 projects sponsored by 32 different conservation districts. Of the $5M appropriation, $4,729,900 was disbursed in FY 2024 and $156,092 was encumbered into FY 2025. These funds, when leveraged with landowner and other funds, enabled implementation of conservation projects with a total cost of $17.3M. The balance of the appropriation, $114,008 reverted to the general fund.

Following on the success of the FY 2023/2023 WPA program, the 2023 Legislature appropriated an additional $5M supplemental to fund WQPA through the end of FY 2025.

***Part II – Performance Measures***

*Note: ISWCC has reworked its Strategic Plan and Performance Measures Report to more closely reflect DFM requirements. New performance measures were implemented into ISWCC starting in FY 2022 to reflect that.*

| **Performance Measure** | **FY 2021** | **FY 2022** | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** | **FY 2025** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **District Support & Services** |
| Percentage of those Conservation Districts who responded to the ISWCC annual survey and expressed satisfaction with the services & programs provided | actual | 78 | 82 | 95 |  |  |
| *target* | *90* | *90* | *90* | *95* |  |
| Percentage of those Conservation Districts who responded to the ISWCC annual survey and expressed satisfaction with the effectiveness of the communications received from the Commission | actual | 89 | 87 | 97.5 |  |  |
| *target* | *90* | *90* | *90* | *95* |  |
| Percentage of requests submitted by Conservation Districts through the Technical Assistance Allocation Process (TAAP) that receive the requested assistance | actual | 93 | 90 | 92 |  |  |
| *target* | *90* | *90* | *90* | *90* |  |
| **Conservation Programs & Services** |
| Number of acres enrolled in the **Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP)** over which technical leadership and guidance is provided | actual | 18,432 | 18,262 | 11,442\* |  |  |
| *target* | *20,000* | *15,000* | *11,288* | *12,000* |  |
| Acres improved with implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and facilitated by **Resource Conservation & Rangeland Development Program (RCRDP)** funding  | actual | 331 | 1,482 | 2,853\*\* |  |  |
| *target* | *600* | *600* | *1,000* | *1,000* |  |
| Number of acres with Best Management Practices (BMPs) implemented to maintain and improve **Ground Water Quality** | actual | 47,264 | 48,813 | 55,029 |  |  |
| *target* | *54,000* | *50,000* | *50,000* | *50,000* |  |
| Percentage of **Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)** implementation plans completed within 18 months of the TMDL having been approved by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) | actual | 90 | 90 | 0 |  |  |
| *target* | *100* | *100* | *100* | *100* |  |
| **Performance Measure** | **FY 2021** | **FY 2022** | **FY 2023** | **FY 2024** | **FY 2025** |
| **Communication & Outreach** |
| Increase the number of newsletter subscribers | actual | 22 | 25 | 26 |  |  |
| *target* | *20* | *20* | *25* | *25* |  |
| Increase annual percentage of social media reach, likes, and follows | actual | 6 | 9.5 | 2.7\*\*\* |  |  |
| *target* | *10%* | *10%* | *10%* | *10* |  |
| Increase number of additional partner projects in Tracker | actual | 7 | 5 | 0\*\*\*\* |  |  |
| *target* | *6* | *6* | *6* | *0* |  |

**Performance Measure Explanatory Notes**

\* The decrease in CREP acreage is due to contracts expiring and not being renewed, largely driven by high commodity prices.

\*\* The increase in the number of acres that were improved with assistance of RCRDP loan funds is largely due to two individual loans which together accounted for greater than 50% of the total acreage treated using the program.

\*\*\* The decrease in the growth of our social media reach is due to a change in the methodology used to estimate this metric.

\*\*\*\* The decrease in number of additional partner projects created in Tracker is due to partners placing low priority on creating and entering records of their projects in Tracker, prioritizing instead the development of implementation projects funded by our Water Quality Program for Agriculture and other programs.

|  |
| --- |
| **For More Information Contact**Idaho Soil & Water Conservation Commission322 East Front Street, Suite 560Boise, ID 83702Phone: (208) 332-1790Fax: (208) 332-1799E-mail: info@swc.idaho.gov |