# Idaho Governor's Office of Species Conservation Strategic Plan FY 2022-2025

Brad Little, Governor Mike Edmondson, Administrator

#### **Our Mission**

The Governor's Office of Species Conservation is dedicated to planning, coordinating and implementing the State's actions to conserve and recover species listed as threatened, endangered or candidate under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA). This work is done in coordination with the States' natural resource agencies and with the input of the citizens of Idaho, while taking into consideration the economic vitality of the State.

#### **Our Vision**

The Governor's Office of Species Conservation seeks to proactively provide leadership and guidance for constituents including state, federal, and private stakeholders, seeking assistance with the conservation of rare and declining species, and recovery and delisting of threatened, endangered and candidate species under the ESA.

#### **Our Goals**

**Goal #1:** Create and implement State policy among a diverse set of stakeholders to address issues related to federal ESA programs.

**Objective 1**: Engage in stakeholder outreach on ESA issues in order to understand impacts and help shape policy.

**Assigned Party**: Administrator, Aquatic Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations                         |    |    |    |    |  |
|---|----|----|----|----|--|
| Performance Measure Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark FY 22 FY 23 FY 24 FY 25 |    |    |    |    |  |
| Number of stakeholder discussions <sup>1</sup>                            | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 |  |

**Explanation** 

**Objective 2:** Coordinate and provide comments to the Forest Service (USFS) on the Salmon-Challis Forest Plan Revision.

Assigned Party: Terrestrial Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations |  |       |       |       |  |  |
|---|--|-------|-------|-------|--|--|
| Performance                                       | ance Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark |       |       |       |  |  |
| Measure   | FY 22  | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Stakeholder discussions may take form as phone calls, one-on-one meetings, virtual meetings, or in a large meeting setting

| Commenting on          | 100% | 100% | NA | NA |
|------------------------|------|------|----|----|
| behalf of the State of |      |      |    |    |
| Idaho on the Salmon-   |      |      |    |    |
| Challis Forest Plan    |      |      |    |    |
| Revision. – meeting    |      |      |    |    |
| USFS commenting        |      |      |    |    |
| deadlines              |      |      |    |    |

Input is received by OSC from state agencies and constituents regarding the forest planning process. OSC compiles these comments and submits final comments to the USFS. The forest planning process is currently ongoing with no proposed end date.

**Objective 3:** Coordinate and provide comments on project proposals and policy documents that have the potential to impact ESA species and citizens of the state of Idaho.

Assigned Party: Aquatic and Terrestrial Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations                              |                    |                    |                    |                    |  |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Performance<br>Measure   | Benchmark<br>FY 22 | Benchmark<br>FY 23 | Benchmark<br>FY 24 | Benchmark<br>FY 25 |  |
| Providing comments on project proposals and policy documents – comment letters | 20                 | 22                 | 25                 | 25                 |  |

#### **Explanation**

OSC develops the state's viewpoint regarding ESA species by analyzing current science and feedback from constituents and other stakeholders regarding each project or policy document (NEPA).

**Goal #2:** Negotiate agreements with federal natural resource agencies that rely upon science and common sense, involve all parties affected by ESA decisions, and incorporate Idaho's economic vitality and values into the decision-making processes.

**Objective 1:** Work with Idaho Department of Lands and the Governor's Office to update the IDL and State Sage-grouse plans and policy.

**Assigned Party: Terrestrial Species Program** 

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations               |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark             |  |  |  |  |  |
| Measure         FY 22         FY 23         FY 24         FY 25 |  |  |  |  |  |

| Complete updating    | 1 Executive Order  | NA | NA | NA |
|----------------------|--------------------|----|----|----|
| IDL plan and have it | and                |    |    |    |
| presented to Land    | 1 Updated IDL plan |    |    |    |
| Board for            |                    |    |    |    |
| Acceptance. Work     |                    |    |    |    |
| with Governor's      |                    |    |    |    |
| Office to issue      |                    |    |    |    |
| updated EO on Sage-  |                    |    |    |    |
| grouse               |                    |    |    |    |

Having updated Sage-grouse plans puts Idaho in a better position to effectively participate in federal Sage-grouse planning efforts. Gives State official policy to point to and shows that we also work to keep our policies and plans current.

**Objective 2:** Work with USFWS and IDFG on northern Idaho ground squirrel (NIDGS) Species Status Assessment (SSA) and 5 Year Status Review

Assigned Party: Terrestrial Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations   |                 |       |       |       |  |
|---|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|--|
| Performance Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark Benchmark |                 |       |       |       |  |
| Measure   | FY 22           | FY 23 | FY 24 | FY 25 |  |
| Participate in the                                  | 1 SSA           | NA    | NA    | NA    |  |
| USFWS process to                                    | And             |       |       |       |  |
| complete the SSA                                    | 1 Status Review |       |       |       |  |
| and status review for                               |                 |       |       |       |  |
| NIDGS   |                 |       |       |       |  |

## **Explanation**

USFWS is conducting an SSA and 5 Year Status Review on the NIDGS to be incorporated into the upcoming recovery plan.

**Objective 3:** Coordinate with Attorney General's Office on Columbia River Systems Operations EIS Litigation to monitor status and provide assistance, where possible.

Assigned Party: Aquatic Species Program/Legal Counsel

| Performance Mea      | asure, Benchmarks, a | nd Explanations |           |           |  |
|----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Performance          | Benchmark            | Benchmark       | Benchmark | Benchmark |  |
| Measure              | FY 21                | FY 22           | FY 23     | FY 24     |  |
| Attend meetings with | 4                    | NA              | NA        | NA        |  |
| AG's office          |                      |                 |           |           |  |
| Explanation          |                      |                 |           |           |  |

OSC spent considerable time coordinating with State agencies, federal agencies, and stakeholders to help develop the CRSO EIS. As such, OSC will remain apprised of its status and aid the AG's office in litigation to support and guide Idaho's position, where possible.

**Objective 4:** Meet with IDFG to coordinate management, recovery, and delisting efforts of threatened and endangered species.

Assigned Party: Administrator, Aquatic Species Program, Terrestrial Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations                          |            |            |            |            |  |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| PerformanceBenchmarkBenchmarkBenchmarkBenchmarkMeasureFY 22FY 23FY 24FY 25 |            |            |            |            |  |
| Meet with IDFG<br>Leadership   | 3 meetings | 3 meetings | 3 meetings | 3 meetings |  |

# **Explanation**

Work with IDFG as wildlife manager to identify a path forward through ESA Section 4(d) or a petition to delist threatened and endangered species under the ESA.

# **Goal #3:** Solicit funding resources and allocate funds to further OSC's mission with an emphasis on transparency and accountability.

**Objective 1:** Secure PCSRF Grant and Distribute PCSRF Funds

Assigned Party: Aquatic Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations                 |   |                      |                     |               |  |  |
|---|---|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|--|--|
| Performance   | Benchmark   | Benchmark            | Benchmark           | Benchmark     |  |  |
| Measure   | FY 22   | FY 23                | FY 24               | FY 25         |  |  |
| Complete and submit annual grant application to NOAA <sup>1</sup> | 1   | 1                    | 1                   | 1             |  |  |
| Accept PCSRF<br>Award <sup>2</sup>                                | \$5.25 million  | \$5.5 million        | \$5.75 million      | \$6.0 million |  |  |
| Hold PCSRF Board<br>Meeting to award<br>funding <sup>3</sup>      |   | 2                    | 2                   | 2             |  |  |
| Conduct project site visits                                       | 25  | 25                   | 25                  | 25            |  |  |
| Conduct<br>Subrecipient<br>Monitoring                             | 8   | 8                    | 8                   | 8             |  |  |
|   |   | Explanatio           | n                   |               |  |  |
|   | <sup>1</sup> Application is reviewed<br>NOAA<br><sup>2</sup> PCSRF is a competitive a<br>making Idaho more comp | ward process. OSC ho | pes to increase awa | rd amount by  |  |  |

4

<sup>3</sup> Boards hears proposals and makes funding decisions directing OSC with any conditions and sets funding levels of projects. 2 annual meetings should be sufficient to award new grant money and any grant money left over from previous years.

<sup>4</sup> Estimated site visits between Boise, Moscow, and Salmon Staff. Site visits help ensure that projects are meeting their goals and in compliance with state and federal requirements

<sup>5</sup> Monitoring based on sub-recipient risk evaluation ensures program compliance

**Objective 2:** Idaho Fish Accord Administration **Assigned Party:** Aquatic Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations  |                    |                    |                    |                    |  |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Performance Measures   | Benchmark<br>FY 22 | Benchmark<br>FY 23 | Benchmark<br>FY 24 | Benchmark<br>FY 25 |  |
| Renew annual intergovernmental agreements contained in the Idaho Fish Accord with BPA. <sup>1</sup>        | 20                 | 20                 | 20                 | 20                 |  |
| Meet with IDFG on Fish<br>Accord funding levels of<br>projects within the<br>Idaho Portfolio. <sup>2</sup> | 4                  | 4                  | 4                  | 4                  |  |
| Receive and approve progress and annual reports from contractors. <sup>3</sup>                             | 45                 | 45                 | 45                 | 45                 |  |
| Attend Salmon and<br>Clearwater Technical<br>Team Meetings <sup>4</sup>                                    | 12                 | 12                 | 12                 | 12                 |  |
| Project Oversight field visits   | 200                | 200                | 200                | 200                |  |

# **Explanation**

**Objective 3**: Secure US Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) Funding to continue salmon recovery projects. **Assigned Party**: Aquatic Species Program

5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OSC co-manages a suite of approximately 20 BPA funded projects with IDFG known as the Idaho Portfolio. Each project has a contract that has a variable renewal date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> OSC and IDFG have the ability to move funds, reserve funds, or pre-fund projects by coordinating with BPA COTRs on their co-managed BPA funded projects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This includes technical reports and project tracking within PIECES.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Monthly meeting of the technical teams to conceptualize, prioritize, future projects; rank proposed projects; monitor the progression of funded projects. Provides technical ranking to the PCSRF Board and BPA Staff.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Boise, Moscow and salmon staff conduct regular project implementation visits to ensure compliance with all applicable state and federal rules as well as ensure project deliverable are being realized and all work is conducted in a safe manner.

|                           | Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations |           |           |           |  |  |
|---------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|
| Performance               | Benchmark   | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark |  |  |
| Measure                   | FY 22   | FY 23     | FY 24     | FY 25     |  |  |
| Apply or renew            | 2   | 2         | 2         | 2         |  |  |
| funding instruments       |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| with BOR. <sup>1</sup>    |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| Conduct field visits      | 6   | 6         | 6         | 6         |  |  |
| and review                |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| protocols <sup>2</sup>    |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| Meet with relevant        | 12  | 12        | 12        | 12        |  |  |
| contractors and staff     |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| to ensure projects        |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| are meeting goals         |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| and objectives.           |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| Contribute to and         | 1+  | 1+        | 1+        | 1+        |  |  |
| review annual             |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| reports. <sup>3</sup>     |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| Meet to review            | 8   | 8         | 8         | 8         |  |  |
| budget, drawdown          |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| logs, and work with       |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| BOR and contractor        |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| to ensure                 |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| deliverables are          |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| produced within           |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| time and budget           |   |           |           |           |  |  |
| limitations. <sup>4</sup> |   |           |           |           |  |  |

**Objective 4:** Convene the Sage-grouse Actions Team to evaluate projects and allocate funding to the most beneficial projects.

Assigned Party: Terrestrial Species Program

|  | Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations |           |           |           |  |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| Performance  | Benchmark   | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark |  |
| Measure  | FY 22   | FY 23     | FY 24     | FY 25     |  |
| Grant dollars<br>awarded,<br>obligated or spent<br>annually by June<br>1.1 | \$675,000   | \$700,000 | \$725,000 | \$725,000 |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1.</sup> Application is reviewed and scored for technical merit and awards are made by BOR. OSC currently has two BPA funded projects including: Upper Salmon Integrated Rehabilitation Assessment and Multiple Reach Assessments and Radio Fish Tracking in the Upper Salmon Basin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Observe project data, equipment, and sites to ensure scientific integrity and workplace safety.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Report to BOR annual results on the timing and movement of juvenile salmonids in the Upper Salmon River.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Basic project/budget oversight and coordination.

| Conduct field visit and evaluate projects. <sup>2</sup>                                  | ts 9   | 11 | 12 | 13 |
|--|--|----|----|----|
| Provide annual reports. <sup>3</sup>   | 2  | 2  | 2  | 2  |
| Receive and approve progress and annual reports from successful applicants. <sup>4</sup> | 12   | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|  | Explanation  1. The legislature appropriates general fund dollars for implementation of the Idaho plan and the federal government awards grant funding to OSC for sage-grouse projects. Each year these dollars will be allocated to funding of sagebrush steppe habitat restoration and rehabilitation projects and spent or obligated by June 1 of |    |    |    |

- that FY.
- <sup>2.</sup> OSC will conduct a certain number of field visits on a subset of projects each year for program and project evaluation in meeting their goals and objectives.
- 3. The USFWS and the BLM require annual reports regarding the federal funds they have granted OSC.
- <sup>4.</sup> A final and a 2-year report must be completed for each project and approved by OSC.

**Objective 5:** Secure compensation funding for livestock producers who have incurred losses due to wolves and prevention funding to aid producers in deterring wolf/livestock conflicts.

**Assigned Party:** Terrestrial Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations |           |           |           |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Performance                                       | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark |
| Measure   | FY 22     | FY 23     | FY 24     | FY 25     |
| Apply for and secure                              | 1         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| Compensation Grant                                |           |           |           |           |
| Funds. <sup>1</sup>                               |           |           |           |           |
| Apply For and secure                              | 1         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| Prevention Grant                                  |           |           |           |           |
| Funds <sup>2</sup>                                |           |           |           |           |
| Provide annual                                    | 1         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| reports. <sup>3</sup>                             |           |           |           |           |

# **Explanation**

- <sup>1.</sup> OSC applies annually for compensation dollars through USFWS. If funded OSC would distribute the necessary funding from USFWS to producers who have verified depredations and that complete the compensation application.
- <sup>2.</sup> FY 21 was the first year that OSC received a grant for wolf prevention funding from USFWS. OSC would like to continue to apply for and receive this funding.
- The USFWS require annual reports regarding the federal funds they have granted OSC.

# **Goal #4:** Unsurpassed constituent services for State, federal and private stakeholders seeking assistance with ESA issues.

**Objective 1:** OSC will increase the efficiency of its contract administration process.

Assigned Party: Terrestrial and Aquatic Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations                        |           |           |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Performance  | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark |
| Measure  | FY 22     | FY 23     | FY 24     | FY 25     |
| % of invoices signed and processed within 30 days of OSC receiving them. | 95%       | 95%       | 95%       | 95%       |

#### **Explanation**

OSC receives payment invoices that must be coded, signed by the administrator and processed by fiscal for ESA projects. This is an internal target to maintain high efficiency.

Objective 2: Annually increase efficiency of PCSRF award process

Assigned Party: Aquatic Species Program

| Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations |           |           |           |           |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Performance                                       | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark |
| Measure   | FY 22     | FY 23     | FY 24     | FY 25     |
| Complete and send                                 | 95%       | 95%       | 95%       | 95%       |
| Award Letters                                     |           |           |           |           |
| within 7 days of                                  |           |           |           |           |
| PCSRF Board                                       |           |           |           |           |
| Meeting <sup>1</sup>                              |           |           |           |           |
| Enter into  | 85%       | 90%       | 95%       | 95%       |
| contracts for                                     |           |           |           |           |
| projects awarded                                  |           |           |           |           |
| by PCSRF Board                                    |           |           |           |           |
| within 60 days                                    |           |           |           |           |
| PCSRF Board                                       |           |           |           |           |
| meeting <sup>2</sup>                              |           |           |           |           |
| Attend NOAA                                       | 1         | 1         | 1         | 1         |
| Grants Workshop <sup>3</sup>                      |           |           |           |           |

#### **Explanation**

<sup>1</sup>Maintaining high efficiency helps ensure high quality of our overall constituent services

<sup>2</sup>Working with project managers to increase efficiency of entering into contracts will increase overall constituent service

<sup>3</sup>Attendence ensures that OSC stays up to date on NOAA Grant requirements and developments so that we may continue to administer our PCSRF program efficiently

**Objective 3:** Annually increase efficiency of Terrestrial species grant award and contract process **Assigned Party:** Terrestrial Species Program

# Performance Measure, Benchmarks, and Explanations

8

| Performance  | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark | Benchmark |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Measure  | FY 22     | FY 23     | FY 24     | FY 25     |
| Complete and send<br>Award Letters<br>within 14 days of<br>Actions Team Board<br>Meeting <sup>1</sup>                      | 95%       | 95%       | 100%      | 100%      |
| Enter into contracts<br>for recommended<br>projects within 60<br>days of the Actions<br>Team Board<br>meeting <sup>2</sup> | 85%       | 90%       | 95%       | 95%       |
| Make payments within 30 days of the application deadline. <sup>3</sup>   | 85%       | 90%       | 95%       | 95%       |

- Steadily increase our efficiency and improve our overall constituent services through the Sage Grouse Actions Team.
- <sup>2.</sup> Increase efficiency of entering into Sage Grouse Actions Team contracts, this will increase overall constituent service.
- Make payments to livestock producers within 30 days of the application deadline, December 31<sup>st</sup>, this will increase overall constituent service.

## **External Factors**

## **Endangered Species Priorities**

ESA species issues tend to have fluctuating priorities. A species and/or habitat issue receiving a lot of focus at one moment may suddenly become a lesser priority depending upon the biological, social, legal, political or cultural ramifications of current events, petitions or litigation. State agencies must be flexible to adapt to those shifts in priorities as they occur.

# Unpredictable Federal Funding

Shrinking federal dollars and competing programs result in significant funding uncertainties for State agencies. Priorities and action plans must have a level of flexibility built in so that State agencies can adjust their focus if the funding for a particular species and/or habitat issue "dries up."

#### **Environmental Unpredictability**

Unforeseen environmental events (i.e. catastrophic wildfire or major flooding events) resulting in significant impacts to either species or habitats has the potential to affect how State agencies prioritize work plans and spending.

## Coronavirus

The Coronavirus pandemic created disruption in many ESA and natural resource planning efforts and projects. The long-term effects of Coronavirus on ESA planning efforts and projects is unknown.

9